$\text{cture } 4$ (2/16) $t \sim \frac{1}{2}$ **Wrinkled surfaces MAE 545: Lecture 4 (2/16)**

Further reading about structural colors 3 **and photonic crystals**

http://ab-initio.mit.edu/book/

Why do we get wrinkled surfaces?

Fingers after being exposed to water for some time

Brain

Institute of Technology on 14 January 2011
on *friet//pubs.rsc.org* | doi:10.1039/C0SM00451K Institute of Technology on 14 January 2011 on http://pubs.rsc.org | doi:10.1039/C0SM00451K

Old apple

Fig. 2 The tension in the liquid water causes the elastomer to deform. Illustrated are three types of deformation: breathing, buckling, and creasing. **Rising dough**

5

 $\epsilon_{\rm v}$ of the sheet, being proportional to the small $\lambda_0 = 1.6 \text{ cm}$ $\lambda_0 = 70 \,\mu\text{m}$

tronics
20 Original province in the metropology in the Science 320–912 \mathcal{M} most of the aforementioned natural systems can be idealized natural systems can be idealized in \mathcal{M} L. Pocivavsek et al., Science **320**, 912 (2008) F. Brau et al., Soft Matter **9**, 8177 (2013)

 λ_0 – 1.0 cm

compression

compression

F. Brau et al., Soft Matter 9, 8177 (2013) the system size. However, the surface deformation energy of the

Buckling vs wrinkling

Compressed thin sheets buckle

S^1 \sim Ω \sim Ω **Compressed thin sheets on liquid and soft elastic substrates wrinkle** S^1 \sim Ω \sim Ω

Fig. 1 Qualitative comparison between the evolution with respect to confinement of the morphology of compressed sheets resting on a liquid⁷⁸ (left panels, adion mandri on the hydra on ook cradito capolita Fig. 1 Qualitative comparison between the evolution with respect to confinement of the morphology of compressed sheets resting on a liquid⁷⁸ (left panels, **Exploration on and operation on our chaptic foundation (right parallel " In compressed thin sheets on liquid and soft elastic substrates global buckling is suppressed, because it would result in very large energy cost associated with deformation of the liquid or soft elastic substrate!**

Brief intro to mechanics: Young's modulus

undeformed material element

Elastic energy of deformation

$$
U = \frac{1}{2} V E \epsilon^2
$$

element volume: $V = L_xL_yL_z$

7

Hooke's law (small deformations)

$$
\frac{F}{A} = E \frac{\Delta L_z}{L_z}
$$

normal stress: $\sigma = F/A$ **Young's modulus:** *E*

normal strain: $\epsilon = \Delta L_z/L_z$

Robert Hooke (1635-1703)

Thomas Young (1773-1829)

Young's modulus of materials

<http://www-materials.eng.cam.ac.uk/mpsite/physics/introduction/>

Poisson's ratio

Typically material shrinks (expands) in the transverse direction of the axial tension (compression)!

Simeon Poisson (1781-1840)

$$
\nu = -\frac{\epsilon_x}{\epsilon_z} = -\frac{\epsilon_y}{\epsilon_z}
$$

$$
\text{normal strains: } \epsilon_i = \frac{\Delta L_i}{L_i}
$$

$$
\epsilon_z = \frac{\sigma_z}{E}
$$

Effective negative Poisson's ratio for structures

Certain structures behave like they have effective negative Poisson's ratio, even though they are made of materials with positive Poisson's ratio!

Bulk modulus

Shear

Note: shear stress does not change the volume of material element!

Hooke's law (small deformations)

$$
\boxed{\frac{F}{A} = \ G\,\gamma}
$$

shear stress: $\tau=F/A$ shear modulus: $G=$ *E*

 ${\sf shear \ strain} \colon \gamma = \arctan{(\Delta/L_z)}$ $\gamma \approx \Delta/L_z$

Elastic energy of deformation

$$
U = \frac{1}{2} V G \gamma^2 \sim V E \left(\frac{\Delta}{L_z}\right)^2
$$

element volume: $V = L_xL_yL_z$

Arbitrary deformation of 3D solid element

Arbitrary deformation can be decomposed to the volume change and the shear deformation.

$$
U = U_{\rm bulk} + U_{\rm shear}
$$

In plane deformations of thin sheets

Curvature of surfaces

$$
\frac{1}{R} = \frac{h''}{(1 + h'^2)^{3/2}} \approx h'' \left[K_{ij} \right]
$$

R. Phillips et al., Physical directions on the surface and a plane parallel to the surface and a plane parallel to the *R* Biology of the Cell and a plane parallel to the surface and a plane parallel to the *x*-axis. A plane parallel to the *x*

of space curves **the surfaces** of surfaces and a second intersection between the surface and a plane parallel to the *x*-axis. **for surfaces** *y*

$$
\frac{1}{R} = \frac{h''}{\left(1 + h'^2\right)^{3/2}} \approx h''
$$
\n
$$
K_{ij} \approx \left(\begin{array}{cc} \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial x^2}, & \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial x \partial y} \\ \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial x \partial y}, & \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial y^2} \end{array}\right)
$$
\n(principal curvatures)

\ncorrespond to the eigenvalues of curvature tensor

maximal and minimal curvatures *x* **(principal curvatures) correspond to the eigenvalues of**

Surfaces of various principal curvatures

18

 $\epsilon_{\rm v}$ of the sheet, being proportional to the small $\lambda_0 = 1.6 \text{ cm}$ $\lambda_0 = 70 \,\mu\text{m}$

tronics
20 Original province in the metropology in the Science 320–912 \mathcal{M} aforementioned natural systems can be idealized natural systems can be idealized natural systems can be idealized in L. Pocivavsek et al., <u>Science</u> 320, 912 (2008) ₁₈ F. Brau et al., <u>Soft Matter</u> 9, 8177 (2013)

 λ_0 – 1.0 cm

compression

compression

F. Brau et al., Soft Matter 9, 8177 (2013) the system size. However, the surface deformation energy of the

Note: upon compression the liquid surface also raises, but we will measure the potential energy relative to this new height!

Compression of stiff thin membranes on liquid substrates from the construction of the full expression for the full expression for the full expression for the full expression for the following construction for the full expression for the full expression for the full expression fo

23

scaling analysis $ln(\lambda)$

exact result

 \mathcal{P}_2 F. Brau et al., **Soft Matter 9**, 8177 (2013) \overline{c}

How to go beyond the simple scaling analysis to determine the nonlinear post-buckling behavior?

Find shape profile h(s) that minimizes total energy

$$
U_b + U_p = W \int_0^L \frac{ds}{2} \left[\frac{\kappa h''^2}{(1 + h'^2)^3} + \rho g h^2 \sqrt{1 - h'^2} \right]
$$

$\mathbf{subject to} \textbf{ construct}$

$$
L - \Delta = \int_0^L ds \sqrt{1 - h'^2}
$$

24 24 F. Brau et al., <u>Soft Matter</u> 9, 8177 (2013) \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{O} ulk \mathbf{C} Uly

Compression of stiff thin membranes on liquid substrates increase in amplitude that gives rise to an increase in energy for the system. <u>the motor and sta</u> the writing transition. physical data are slightly shifted to the right as sion of St on of an and and physical data attests that the essential physical photography is captured in the phenomenon in the phenomenon is captured in the phenomenon is captured simulation. Both experiments show that a as the sum of linear and nonlinear terms, we ratae ðK=2Þ∫ L $\overline{}$

Comparison between theory (infinite membrane) and experiment studied a thin polyester film on water and nu-'ison between theol to the exergence of the energy functional definition \mathbf{r} beyond which the surface geometry becomes v (infinite membrang \mathbf{V} follows as two non-dependent and exneriment **Comparison between theory (infinite membrane) and experiment** Fig. 4 (a) Definitions of the amplitudes A⁰ and A1. (b) Comparison between the

25

L. Pocivavsek et al., **Science 320**, 912 (2008) infinite sheet. Inset: representative membrane profiles for various values of D/l0. and Chai (Circles). Experimental data including taken for several membershoep. In the N = 3.5, including when $\frac{1}{2}$ 4.0, 4.5, 5.0, 5.5, 6.0, 6.5, 7.0, 7.5, and 8.0. Dark solid lines show numerical results for a sheet sheets \mathcal{S} and the evolution predicted by the exact solution (21) obtained for an and \mathcal{S} L. Pocivavsek et al., <u>Science</u> 320, 912 (

F. Brau et al., Soft Matter **9**, 8177 (2013) Γ D Ω and staled Ω of the latter Ω of 77 (0.010) $\sigma_{\rm B}$ F. Brau et al., <u>Soft Matter</u> 9, 8177 (2013) that follows the numerical curve for N = 3.5 and d << 1. In both numerical and physical cases, the

Compression of stiff thin membranes on soft elastic substrates *d* **initial undeformed configuration**

Consider the energy cost for two different scenarios:

L

1.) thin membrane is compressed (no bending)

26

Note: soft elastic substrate is also compressed, but we will measure the substrate elastic energy relative to this base value!

deformation of the soft substrate decays exponentially away from the surface

 $h(s, y) \approx h_0 \cos(2\pi s/\lambda)e^{-2\pi y/\lambda}$

F. Brau et al., Nat. Phys. **7**, 56 (2010)

deformation of the soft substrate decays exponentially away from the surface

amplitude of wrinkles

$$
h_0 = \frac{\lambda}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{\Delta}{L}} = \frac{\lambda \sqrt{\epsilon}}{\pi}
$$

 $h(s, y) \approx h_0 \cos(2\pi s/\lambda)e^{-2\pi y/\lambda}$

 $U_b, U_s \sim A d\epsilon \left(E_s^2 E_m \right)$

 Δ

y

 $1/3$

bending energy of \mathbf{B} stiff membrane

deformation energy	$U_s \sim V \times E_s \times \epsilon_s^2$
of soft substrate	U_s \sim V \times E_s \times \epsilon_s^2

$$
U_b \sim A \times \kappa \times \frac{1}{R^2} \sim A \times E_m d^3 \times \frac{h_0^2}{\lambda^4} \sim \frac{A E_m d^3 \epsilon}{\lambda^2}
$$

$$
U_s \sim V \times E_s \times \epsilon_s^2 \sim A \lambda \times E_s \times \frac{h_0^2}{\lambda^2} \sim A E_s \lambda \epsilon
$$

minimize total energy (U_b+U_s) **with respect to**

29

 $\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}$

1

 E_m

 E_s

 $\lambda \sim d$

31 F. Brau et al., **Soft Matter 9**, 8177 (2013) \mathfrak{I} cross-linked partially cross-linked to extend the extending to exte

Compression of stiff thin membranes on soft elastic substrates Software Review of the Software Review of the Software Review of the Software Review of the Software Review of

32

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ ϵ and sheet to the sheet of the sheet, being proportional to the square of the the full nonlinear deformation **Tempt 2008** the system size. However, the surface deformation energy of the **of the soft substrate!** Fig. 2 \sim 1 \sim 1 \sim 2 \sim 1 \sim 1 \sim 1 \sim 1 \sim 0 \sim 1 \sim **11.6 cm in an elastic foundation (right panels confidence**ly particular particular particular increases for par **In order to explain period doubling (quadrupling, …) one has to take into account** Published on 25
Princeton University on 25/11/2015 15:27.
Princeton University on 25/11/2015 15:19:27.

e. Brau et al., Soft Matter **9**, 8177 (2013) PDMS and E ¼ 3.2 GPa, s ¼ 0.35, h ¼ 218 nm for polystyrene (PS). Data:⁵⁷ E ¼ 130 G_{32} f. Brau et al., <u>Soft Matter</u> 9, 8177 (2013)