MAE 545: Lecture 8 (3/7) Shapes of growing and swelling sheets

Shapes of flowers and leaves

saddles

wrinkled edges

helices

Metric tensor for measuring distances on surfaces

metric tensor for measuring lengths

$$
d\ell^2 = d\vec{r}^2 = \sum_{i,j} \vec{t}_i \cdot \vec{t}_j dx^i dx^j = \sum_{i,j} g_{ij} dx^i dx^j
$$

$$
g_{ij} = \vec{t}_i \cdot \vec{t}_j = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{t}_1 \cdot \vec{t}_1, & \vec{t}_1 \cdot \vec{t}_2 \\ \vec{t}_2 \cdot \vec{t}_1 & \vec{t}_2 \cdot \vec{t}_2 \end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
g = \det(g_{ij}) = |\vec{t}_1 \times \vec{t}_2|^2
$$

area element

$$
dA = |\vec{t_1}||\vec{t_2}| \sin \alpha dx^1 dx^2
$$

$$
dA = \sqrt{g} \, dx^1 dx^2
$$

Strain tensor and energy of shell deformations

4

$$
g_{ij} = \frac{\partial \vec{r}}{\partial x^i} \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{r}}{\partial x^j}
$$

$$
d\ell^2 = \sum_{i,j} g_{ij} dx^i dx^j
$$

strain tensor

$$
u_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k} (g^{-1})_{ik} (g'_{kj} - g_{kj})
$$

inverse metric tensor

$$
\sum_{k} (g^{-1})_{ik} g_{kj} = \sum_{k} g_{ik} (g^{-1})_{kj} = \delta_{ij}
$$

 $g = det(g_{ij})$ $\lambda = \frac{L \nu u}{(1 - 2)}$ $\mu =$

Ed

 $\lambda = \frac{2 \nu \alpha}{(1 - \nu^2)}$ $\mu = \frac{2 \alpha}{2(1 + \nu)}$

 $E\nu d$

 $(1 - \nu^2)$

Strain tensor for deformation of flat plates

undeformed plate deformed plate

$$
\vec{t'}_i = \partial_i \vec{r'} = \vec{e}_i + \sum_k (\partial_i u_k) \vec{e}_k + (\partial_i h) \vec{e}_z
$$

strain tensor

metric tensor

 $\vec{t}_i = \partial_i \vec{r} \equiv$

$$
g_{ij} = \vec{t}_i \cdot \vec{t}_j = \delta_{ij} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1, & 0 \\ 0, & 1 \end{pmatrix}
$$

 $\partial \bar r$

 ∂i

 $= \vec{e}_i$

$$
u_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} (g'_{ij} - \delta_{ij})
$$

$$
2u_{ij} = (\partial_i u_j + \partial_j u_i) + \sum_k \partial_i u_k \partial_j u_k + \partial_i h \partial_j h
$$

Curvature of curves

Curvature tensor for surfaces

metric tensor for $g_{ij} = \vec{t}_i \cdot \vec{t}_j$ measuring lengths

curvature tensor for surfaces

$$
K_{ij} = \sum_{k} (g^{-1})_{ik} \left(\vec{n} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 \vec{r}}{\partial x^k \partial x^j} \right)
$$

principal curvatures correspond to the eigenvalues of curvature tensor

mean curvature

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{R_1} + \frac{1}{R_2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_i K_{ii} = \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}(K_{ij})
$$

Gaussian curvature

$$
\frac{1}{R_1 R_2} = \det(K_{ij})
$$

Surfaces of various principal curvatures

Examples for Gaussian curvature

$Examples$

 $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ = (1,0,0)

 $\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ = (0, 1, 0)

 $\vec{r}(x, y) = (x, y, 0)$

 $\partial \bar r$

 $\partial \bar r$

 $\vec{t}_x =$

 $\vec{t}_y =$

$$
K_{ij} = \sum_{k} (g^{-1})_{ik} \left(\vec{n} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 \vec{r}}{\partial x^k \partial x^j} \right)
$$

$$
g_{ij} = \vec{t}_i \cdot \vec{t}_j = \begin{pmatrix} 1, & 0 \\ 0, & 1 \end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
K_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 0, & 0 \\ 0, & 0 \end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
\frac{d}{dt}
$$

 \vec{t}_{θ}

 $\not\equiv$ *tx*

 $\bar{\mathcal{t}}$ *ty*

 \vec{n}

$$
\vec{n} = \frac{\vec{t}_x \times \vec{t}_y}{|\vec{t}_x \times \vec{t}_y|} = (0, 0, 1)
$$
\n
$$
\vec{r}(\phi, z) = (R \cos \phi, R \sin \phi, z)
$$
\n
$$
\vec{t}_\phi = \frac{\partial \vec{r}}{\partial \phi} = R(- \sin \phi, \cos \phi, 0)
$$
\n
$$
\vec{t}_z = \frac{\partial \vec{r}}{\partial z} = (0, 0, 1)
$$
\n
$$
\vec{n} = \frac{\vec{t}_\phi \times \vec{t}_z}{|\vec{t}_\phi \times \vec{t}_z|} = (\cos \phi, \sin \phi, 0)
$$
\n
$$
K_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{R}, & 0\\ 0, & 0 \end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
\vec{r}(\theta,\phi) = R(\sin\theta\cos\phi, \sin\theta\sin\phi, \cos\theta) \n\vec{t}_{\phi} \quad \vec{t}_{\theta} = \frac{\partial\vec{r}}{\partial\theta} = R(\cos\theta\cos\phi, \cos\theta\sin\phi, -\sin\theta) \n\vec{n} \quad \vec{t}_{\phi} = \frac{\partial\vec{r}}{\partial\phi} = R\sin\theta(-\sin\phi, \cos\phi, 0) \n\vec{n} = \frac{\vec{t}_{\theta} \times \vec{t}_{\phi}}{|\vec{t}_{\theta} \times \vec{t}_{\phi}|} = (\sin\theta\cos\phi, \sin\theta\sin\phi, \cos\theta) \n\vec{n} = \frac{\vec{t}_{\theta} \times \vec{t}_{\phi}}{|\vec{t}_{\theta} \times \vec{t}_{\phi}|} = (\sin\theta\cos\phi, \sin\theta\sin\phi, \cos\theta)
$$

Bending energy for deformation of shells

undeformed shell deformed shell

 $K'_{ij} = \sum$

k

sheet thickness *d* **Young's modulus** *E* **Poisson's ratio** ⌫

◆

 $\vec{n}' \cdot \frac{\partial^2 \vec{r}'}{\partial x^k \partial x^l}$

 $\partial x^k\partial x^j$

$$
K_{ij} = \sum_{k} (g^{-1})_{ik} \left(\vec{n} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 \vec{r}}{\partial x^k \partial x^j} \right)
$$

bending strain tensor

$$
b_{ij}=K'_{ij}-K_{ij}
$$

(local measure of deviation from preferred curvature)

Energy cost of bending

 $ik \n\left($

 (g'^{-1})

$$
U = \int \left(\sqrt{g} dx^1 dx^2\right) \left[\frac{1}{2} \kappa \left(\text{tr}(b_{ij})\right)^2 + \kappa_G \text{det}(b_{ij})\right]
$$

$$
\kappa = \frac{Ed^3}{12(1-\nu^2)} \quad \kappa_G = -\frac{Ed^3}{12(1+\nu)}
$$

Bending strain for deformation of flat plates

undeformed plate deformed plate

local normal

$$
\vec{n} = \frac{\vec{t}_x \times \vec{t}_y}{|\vec{t}_x \times \vec{t}_y|} = \vec{e}_z
$$

$$
K_{ij} = \vec{n} \cdot \partial_i \partial_j \vec{r} = 0
$$

local normal (neglecting in-plane deformations)

$$
\vec{n'} \approx \frac{\vec{e_z} - (\partial_x h) \vec{e_x} - (\partial_y h) \vec{e_y}}{\sqrt{1 + (\partial_x h)^2 + (\partial_y h)^2}}
$$

reference curvature tensor bending strain tensor

$$
\vec{r} = 0 \qquad \qquad \boxed{b_{ij} = K'_{ij} \approx \partial_i \partial_j h + \cdots}
$$

Wrinkled and straight blades in macroalgae

13

Slow water flow environment (v~0.5 m/s)

faster than the midline

Fig. 4 Transverse growth strain rates (M W \mathbf{f} function of the distance from the origin (Fig. 1C) of each \mathbf{f} $\frac{1}{2}$ 13 **What is the effect of differential growth rate between the edge and the midline of the blade?**

Fast water flow environment (v~1.5 m/s)

edges of blades grow edges or blades grow at the **Example 19 Same speed as the midline faster than the midline edges of blades grow at the**

M. Koehl et al., <u>Integ. Comp.</u> Biol. **48**, 834 (2008) Day 0, for wide, ruffled blades on N. luetkeana growing at the Ω oron evident. In the rapid proximal regions of \mathcal{B} ruffled blades, the edges of the blade grew more hole marking a blade segment at the start of t \overline{D} iel 40, 904/0 at the slow-flow SC site (A), and for strap-like flat blades on

Differential growth produces internal stress point to light the specific distance from the specific specific to the specific specific specific specific spec and vertical neighbors. If the sheet remains flat, adjacent hor-

tical connecting springs more and more for longer and longer sheets. Something has to give the planet $\mathbf s$

faster growth of the **bottom edge in x direction**

hlata: If arquith in different h ples, but word in your the dependence the dependence of the department of μ the cheet than the curveture the sheet, their the carvature turaan the tan and hattam of Note: If growth is different between the top and bottom of aneor K_{\pm} ie modified ae welll the sheet, then the curvature tensor $\,K_{ij}\,$ is modified as well!

Mechanics of growing sheets

Growth defines preferred metric tensor g_{ij} , and preferred curvature tensor K_{ij} .

The equilibrium membrane shape $\vec{r}^{\,\prime}(x^1,x^2)$ **corresponds to the minimum of elastic energy:**

$$
U = \int \left(\sqrt{g} dx^1 dx^2\right) \left[\frac{1}{2}\lambda \left(\sum_i u_{ii}\right)^2 + \mu \sum_{i,j} u_{ij} u_{ji} + \frac{1}{2}\kappa \left(\text{tr}(b_{ij})\right)^2 + \kappa_G \text{det}(b_{ij})\right]
$$

Growth can independently tune the metric tensor g_{ij} and the curvature tensor K_{ij} , which may not be compatible with any **surface shape that would produce zero energy cost!**

Zero energy shape exists only when preferred metric tensor g_{ij} **and** preferred curvature tensor K_{ij} satisfy Gauss-Codazzi-Mainardi relations!

Mechanics of growing membranes

One of the Gauss-Codazzi-Mainardi equations (Gauss's Theorema Egregium) relates the Gauss curvature to metric tensor

$$
\det(K_{ij}') = \mathcal{F}(g_{ij}')\Big|
$$

The equilibrium membrane shape $\vec{r}^{\,\prime}(x^1,x^2)$ **corresponds to the minimum of elastic energy:**

$$
U = \int \left(\sqrt{g} dx^1 dx^2\right) \left[\frac{1}{2}\lambda \left(\sum_i u_{ii}\right)^2 + \mu \sum_{i,j} u_{ij} u_{ji} + \frac{1}{2}\kappa \left(\text{tr}(b_{ij})\right)^2 + \kappa_G \text{det}(b_{ij})\right]
$$

scaling with membrane thickness d

For very thin membranes the equilibrium shape matches the preferred metric tensor to avoid stretching, compressing and shearing. This also specifies the Gauss curvature!

$$
g'_{ij} = g_{ij}
$$

$$
\det(K'_{ij}) = \mathcal{F}(g_{ij})
$$

 $\kappa, \kappa_G \sim E d^3$ $\lambda, \mu \sim E d$

Example

Assume that differential growth in x direction produces metric tensor of the form

◆

For thin membranes the metric tensor wants to be matched
\n
$$
g'_{ij} = g_{ij}
$$

0*,* 1

 $\int f(y)$, 0

Gauss's Theorema Egregium provides Gauss curvature

$$
\det(K'_{ij}(y)) = \mathcal{F}(g_{ij}) = -\frac{1}{f} \frac{d^2 f(y)}{dy^2} = -\frac{1}{\lambda^2} \times \frac{ce^{(|y|-W)/\lambda}}{(1 + ce^{(|y|-W)/\lambda})} < 0
$$

For thin membranes faster growth on edges produces shapes that locally look like saddles!

 $g_{ij} =$

 $f(y)=1+ ce^{(|y|-W)/\lambda}$

Scaling analysis

membrane compression

H. Liang and L. Mahadevan, PNAS **106**, 22049 (2009) latory shapes of a long, growing ribbon as a function of the maximum edge **growth.** Liang and L. Manadevan, <u>PIVAS</u> **106**, 22049 (2009)

Shapes of flowers and leaves

Faster growth of the edge is consistent with observed saddles and edge wrinkles, which indeed correspond to the negative Gauss curvature!

saddles

wrinkled edges (+saddles)

Growth of a blooming lily

 $x[\rm{cm}]$

B **in lab blooming takes 4.5 days under constant fluorescent light (1 frame/min)**

H. Liang and L. Mahadevan, **PNAS 108**, 5516 (2011) further quantify the role of the middle of the middle of the middle of the middle opening, we have λ

How flowers open in the morning and close in the evening?

<https://vimeo.com/98276732>

When temperature increases in the morning, flowers regulate their growth pattern to grow more new cells on the inside of flower leaves. This results in curling of leaves and opening of flowers.

When temperature drops in the evening, flowers regulate their growth pattern to grow more new cells on the outside of flower leaves. This results in straightening of leaves and closing of flowers.

Shaping of gel membranes by differential shrinking

a Hele–Shaw cell through programmable valves. Polymerization leads to E. Efrati et al., Physica D **235**, 29 (2007) \mathbf{F}

23

Cross-linking of polymers result in a solid gel

Note: some cross-linkers can be chemically activated by UV light exposure. Duration of UV light exposure controls the degree of cross-linking and therefore the Young's modulus *E* **for gels.**

Shaping of gel membranes by differential shrinking *E. Efrati et al. / Physica D 235 (2007) 29–32* 31

25

Shaping of gel membranes by differential shrinking

Shrinking of sheets

E. Sharon and E. Efrati, Soft Matter **6**, 5693 (2010) $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V}$ E Sharon and F Ffrati $rac{1}{2}$ as $rac{1}{2}$ rally flat leaf to be \mathcal{L} \Box . Undivirant \Box tubes. And with a similar to the one in \Box the one in \Box

E. Efrati et al., Physica D 235, 29 (2007) 26 Sc

Shaping of gel membrane properties by lithography depend somewhat on flow, f sufficient to avoid local buckling in all cases. The cases of the cases of the cases of the cases of the cases Having established that halftoning provides law seteluh b = 1, a spherical cap with K = 1, a spherical cap with K = 1, a spherical cap with K = 1, a spheric , and a context with a context with a cone with a cone with a context with a context with a context with a con
, and the context with a context wi

access thin film of polymer solution with premixed **inactive cross-linkers**

UV light activates cross-linkers. Time of UV light exposure determines the degree of polymer cross-linking.

two material regions. Although this model capture of the second captures of the second capture of the second captures of the secon where a is the ratio of the ratio of the elastic moduli in the elastic moduli in the elastic moduli in the ela ing for disks with homogeneous dot sizes, we

local area fraction of the low swelling regions

Shaping of gel membrane properties by halftone lithography

29 29 J. Kim et al., <u>Science</u> 335, 1201 (2012)

Shaping of gel membrane properties by halftone lithography viations from the programmed curvature. Interestingly, we do not observe a boundary layer where she with non-thermodynamics with \sim die die metric between the metric of the metric plotted in Fig. 2014 were the metric of the metric plotted in a lithaananku d mutugraphy mm) suggests the presence of slight throughmatches closely with the target profile. **given film the film the film the film th** leads to a saturation in the number of wrinkles, anerties hy halfto choises with n (for the films with \sim 7HWI 'ane aranhy $\frac{1}{2}$ denote the $\frac{1}{2}$ denote the $\frac{1}{2}$

-Sa

 $n=4$

 0.5

 r/R

 1.0

tion with which Ω is patterned. (G to J) Patterned sheets programmed to

and temperature-responsive **Temperature controls swelling** G) A schematic illustration of halftone gel lithographic gel li and thus the deformed shape the three regions of α The sizes and positions of open circles correspond to the low-sweep \sim dots. Before swelling, the patterned gel sheets were 9 mm thick and had

swelling depends on *T*

ing. (H) The areal expansion ratio Ω of composite disks at 22°C is plotted **Note different intermediate shapes!** By slowly varying the temperature. deviations for six independent measurements. (I) the temperature dependent measurements. (I) $\frac{1}{100}$ The temperature dependent measurements. (I) $\frac{1}{100}$ The temperature dependent measurements. (I) $\frac{1}{100}$ The t dence of the component of the component values of \mathcal{L} \mathbf{F} 3H often fail to form the desired shape upon \mathbf{y} slowly lying along the line cutting diagonally through \mathbf{r} the sheet, \mathbf{r} \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{L} are use of a glass micropic to hold \mathcal{L} **By slowly varying the temperature** skelling (upon cooling from 40° to 22°C) in the set of the 20°C set of 22°C set of 20°C. we stay in a local energy minimum!

> 31 1202 9 1202 9 1202 907 4004 (0010) region of positive curvature. Thus, we conclude that surfaces with complex surfaces with complex sweets \mathbf{u}_1 J. Kim et al., Science **335**, 1201 (2012)

Gaussian curvature does not uniquely specify the shape!

32 the three regions of positive curvature along the center diagonal buckle in the center diagonal buckle in the c 32 J. Kim et al., **Science 335**, 1201 (2012)