MAE 545: Lectures 7,8 (2/27)

Wrinkled surfaces

Shapes of growing sheets





How are villi formed in guts?



Villi increase internal surface area of intestine for faster absorption of digested nutrients.



Lumen patterns in chick embryo

DAPI marks cell nuclei

aSMA marks smooth muscle actin

E...: age of chick embryo in days



Stiff muscles grow slower than softer mesenchyme and endoderm layers



radial compression due to differential growth produces striped wrinkles

endoderm mesenchyme muscle



3 A. Shyer et al., <u>Science</u> **342**, 212 (2013)

Lumen patterns in chick embryo



endoderm mesenchyme muscle



4

A. Shyer et al., <u>Science</u> **342**, 212 (2013)

Lumen patterns in chick embryo



Villi start forming at E16 because of the faster growth in valleys

Zigzag Twisting

ng Bulges

The same mechanism for villi formation also works in other organisms!



5 A. Shyer et al., <u>Science</u> **342**, 212 (2013)

Why are guts shaped like that?



© 2003 Encyclopædia Britannica, Inc.



Guts in chick embryo

Surgically removed guts from chick embryo



Tube straightens after separation from mesentery

Tube grows faster than mesentery sheet!





7



T. Savin et al., <u>Nature</u> **476**, 57 (2011)

Synthetic analog of guts

8



Rubber model of guts



Chick guts at E12



What is the wavelength of this oscillations?

Compression of stiff tube on soft elastic mesentery sheet

$$2h_0$$

assumed profile $h(s) = h_0 \cos(2\pi s/\lambda)$

deformation of the soft mesentery decays exponentially away from the surface

y

 $2r_0$

w

 $2r_i$

amplitude of wrinkles

$$h_0 = \frac{\lambda}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{\Delta}{L}} = \frac{\lambda\sqrt{\epsilon}}{\pi}$$

 $h(s,y) \approx h_0 \cos(2\pi s/\lambda) e^{-2\pi y/\lambda}$

bending energy of stiff tube

$$U_b \sim L \times \kappa_t \times \frac{1}{R^2} \sim L \times E_t I_t \times \frac{h_0^2}{\lambda^4} \sim \frac{L E_t I_t \epsilon}{\lambda^2}$$

deformation energy of soft mesentery

$$U_m \sim A \times E_m d \times \epsilon_m^2 \sim L\lambda \times E_m d \times \frac{h_0^2}{\lambda^2} \sim LE_m d\lambda \epsilon$$

minimize total energy (U_b+U_m) with respect to λ

$$\lambda \sim \left(\frac{E_t I_t}{E_m d}\right)^{1/3}$$

bending stiffness of tube $\kappa_t = E_t I_t$ $\kappa_t \propto E_t (r_0^4 - r_i^4)$

Wavelength of oscillations in guts









chick



quail

finch



 $E_{\rm m}$

T. Savin et al., <u>Nature</u> 476, 57 (2011)

10

mouse

npre

erial

When soft elastic material is compressed by more than 35% surface forms sharp creases. This is effect of nonlinear elasticity!



Swelling of thin membranes on elastic substrates



12 T. Tallinen et al., <u>PNAS</u> **111**, 12667 (2014)

Cortical convolutions in brains



Migration of neurons to the cortex leads to "swelling" of gray matter!



Formation of cortical convolutions in developing brains

Magnetic resonance images (MRI) of fetal brains











GW 22-23

GW 25-26 GW 28-29

GW 33-34

GW 36-37

gestational week (GW): age of fetus in weeks

Numerical simulations of developing brain

Initial condition: shape from MRI image of fetal brain at GW 22.





¹⁴ T. Tallinen et al., <u>Nature Physics</u> **12**, 588 (2016)

Formation of cortical convolutions in developing brains

Magnetic resonance images (MRI) of fetal brains











GW 22-23

GW 25-26 GW 28-29

GW 33-34

GW 36-37

gestational week (GW): age of fetus in weeks

Numerical simulations of developing brain





GW 22



GW 29

GW 34

GW 40

adult

From GW 22 to adult stage:

brain volume increases 20-fold from 60 ml to 1,200 ml cortical area increases 30-fold from 80 cm² to 2,400 cm²

¹⁵ T. Tallinen et al., <u>Nature Physics</u> **12**, 588 (2016)

Formation of cortical convolutions in developing brains

Magnetic resonance images (MRI) of fetal brains











GW 22-23

GW 28-29 GW 25-26

GW 33-34

GW 36-37

gestational week (GW): age of fetus in weeks

Swelling of gel models of brain

16





In experiments only the thin coated layer swells by absorbing a liquid!



replicated gel-brain

gel-brain coated with thin layer





T. Tallinen et al., <u>Nature Physics</u> **12**, 588 (2016)



T. Tallinen et al., <u>Nature Physics</u> **12**, 588 (2016)

Formation of cortical convolutions in developing brains



Magnetic resonance images (MRI) of brains







GW 40



adult

Numerical simulations of developing brain

GW 34



22GW 29GW 34GW 40acSwelling of gel models of brain



GW 22 GW 29 GW 34 (t=0) (t=9 min) (t=16 min)

18 T. Tallinen et al., <u>Nature Physics</u> **12**, 588 (2016)

Brains for various organisms



measurements of brain parameters



R: brain size

T: thickness of gray matter

tangential expansion



19 T. Tallinen et al., <u>PNAS</u> **111**, 12667 (2014)



20

PNAS 97, 5621 (2000)

Brain malformations

lissencephaly pachygyria (small number of larger gyri)



Reduced neuronal migration to cortex

Gray matter is thicker and it swells less!

polymicrogyria

(large number of smaller gyri)



Typically gray matter has only four rather than six layers in the affected areas.



Weak adhesion between membrane and substrate



thin membrane delaminates/buckles!

The morphology of compressed structures can be obtained by minimizing the total energy



Experimental protocol



Computationally predicted phase diagram



24 Q. Wang and X. Zhao, <u>Sci. Rep.</u> **5**, 8887 (2015)

Very strong adhesion ($\Gamma/(E_s d) \gg 1$)



25 Q. Wang and X. Zhao, <u>Sci. Rep.</u> 5, 8887 (2015)









Compression of thin membranes on elastic substrates with finite adhesion Strong adhesion





30 Q. Wang and X. Zhao, <u>Sci. Rep.</u> 5, 8887 (2015)

 $\frac{1}{E_s d} = 3.99$

Moderate adhesion

$$\frac{\Gamma}{E_s d} = 0.81$$

"Ridge" and "Period-double" phases disappear

delamination/buckling of folds



$$\frac{\Gamma}{E_s d} = 0.46$$

"Ridge" and "Period-double" phases disappear

delamination/buckling of wrinkles



31 Q. Wang and X. Zhao, <u>Sci. Rep.</u> 5, 8887 (2015)

Weak adhesion

$$\frac{\Gamma}{E_s d} = 0.28$$

"Ridge", "Period-double" and

"Fold" phases disappear

 $\frac{\Gamma}{E_s d} = 0.13$

delaminatied/buckled phase almost completely takes over the other phases delamination/buckling of flat phase



Shapes of growing/swelling sheets and coiling of rods







Shapes of flowers and leaves

saddles

wrinkled edges

helices



Wrinkled and straight blades in macroalgae



bull kelp (seaweed)

Slow water flow environment (v~0.5 m/s)

Fast water flow environment (v~1.5 m/s)



old growth before

transplanted (flat)

new growth after transplantation (wrinkled)

Transplantation of blade from one environment to the other changes morphology!



blades

M. Koehl et al., Integ. Comp. Biol. 48, 834 (2008)

Wrinkled and straight blades in macroalgae

bull kelp (seaweed)



Slow water flow environment (v~0.5 m/s)



increased drag

blades flap like flags

flapping prevents bundling of blades, which can thus receive more sunlight (photosynthesis)

Fast water flow environment (v~1.5 m/s)



reduced drag to prevent detachment from base (=death)

minimal flapping

blades bundle together and some blades on the bottom receive less sunlight

> M. Koehl et al., Integ. Comp. Biol. 48, 834 (2008)

Wrinkled and straight blades in macroalgae

37

Slow water flow environment (v~0.5 m/s)



edges of blades grow faster than the midline



What is the effect of differential growth rate between the edge and the midline of the blade?

Fast water flow environment (v~1.5 m/s)



edges of blades grow at the same speed as the midline



M. Koehl et al., Integ. Comp. Biol. 48, 834 (2008)

Differential growth produces internal stress

before growth

faster growth of the bottom edge in x direction



Differential growth produces internal stresses, which can be partially released via bending!

Next: Short detour to differential geometry.

Metric for measuring distances along curves



metric for measuring lengths

$$d\ell^{2} = d\vec{r}^{2} = \vec{t}^{2} (dx^{1})^{2} = g (dx^{1})^{2}$$
$$g = \vec{t}^{2}$$
$$d\ell = \sqrt{g} dx^{1}$$

Natural parametrization corresponds to $g \equiv 1$, where x^1 measures distance along the beam.

Metric for measuring distances along curves



Strain and energy of beam deformations



strain measures the difference of metric g' for deformed beam from the preferred metric g !

- E 3D Young's modulus
- A beam cross-section area

Metric tensor for measuring distances on surfaces



$$d\ell^2 = d\vec{r}^2 = \sum_{i,j} \vec{t}_i \cdot \vec{t}_j dx^i dx^j = \sum_{i,j} g_{ij} dx^i dx^j$$
$$g_{ij} = \vec{t}_i \cdot \vec{t}_j = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{t}_1 \cdot \vec{t}_1, & \vec{t}_1 \cdot \vec{t}_2 \\ \vec{t}_2 \cdot \vec{t}_1 & \vec{t}_2 \cdot \vec{t}_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$g = \det(g_{ij}) = |\vec{t}_1 \times \vec{t}_2|^2$$



area element



$$dA = |\vec{t_1}| |\vec{t_2}| \sin \alpha dx^1 dx^2$$

$$dA = \sqrt{g} \, dx^1 dx^2$$

Examples

Strain tensor and energy of shell deformations

undeformed shell

$$g_{ij} = \frac{\partial \vec{r}}{\partial x^i} \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{r}}{\partial x^j}$$
$$d\ell^2 = \sum_{i,j} g_{ij} dx^i dx^j$$

strain tensor

$$u_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k} (g^{-1})_{ik} (g'_{kj} - g_{kj})$$

inverse metric tensor

$$\sum_{k} (g^{-1})_{ik} g_{kj} = \sum_{k} g_{ik} (g^{-1})_{kj} = \delta_{ij}$$

Strain tensor for deformation of flat plates

undeformed plate

deformed plate

local tangents

$$\vec{t_i} = \partial_i \vec{r} \equiv \frac{\partial \vec{r}}{\partial i} = \vec{e_i}$$

metric tensor

$$g_{ij} = \vec{t}_i \cdot \vec{t}_j = \delta_{ij} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1, & 0\\ 0, & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\vec{r'} = \vec{e_i} + \sum (\partial_i u_k) \vec{e_k}$$

$$\vec{t'_i} = \partial_i \vec{r'} = \vec{e_i} + \sum_k (\partial_i u_k) \vec{e_k} + (\partial_i h) \vec{e_z}$$

strain tensor

$$u_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left(g'_{ij} - \delta_{ij} \right)$$

$$2u_{ij} = \left(\partial_i u_j + \partial_j u_i \right) + \sum_k \partial_i u_k \partial_j u_k + \partial_i h \partial_j h$$

Curvature of curves

Curvature tensor for surfaces

 $g_{ij} = \vec{t}_i \cdot \vec{t}_j$ metric tensor for measuring lengths

curvature tensor for surfaces

$$K_{ij} = \sum_{k} \left(g^{-1} \right)_{ik} \left(\vec{n} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 \vec{r}}{\partial x^k \partial x^j} \right)$$

principal curvatures correspond to the eigenvalues of curvature tensor

mean curvature

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{R_1} + \frac{1}{R_2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_i K_{ii} = \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}(K_{ij})$$

Gaussian curvature

$$\frac{1}{R_1 R_2} = \det(K_{ij})$$

Surfaces of various principal curvatures

Examples for Gaussian curvature

Examples

 $\vec{r}(x,y) = (x,y,0)$

 $\vec{t}_x = \frac{\partial \vec{r}}{\partial x} = (1, 0, 0)$

$$K_{ij} = \sum_{k} \left(g^{-1} \right)_{ik} \left(\vec{n} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 \vec{r}}{\partial x^k \partial x^j} \right)$$

$$g_{ij} = \vec{t}_i \cdot \vec{t}_j = \begin{pmatrix} 1, & 0 \\ 0, & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$K_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 0, & 0 \\ 0, & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

 \vec{t}_{θ}

 \vec{n}

$$\vec{t}_{y} = \frac{\partial \vec{r}}{\partial y} = (0, 1, 0)$$

$$\vec{t}_{y} = \frac{\partial \vec{r}}{\partial y} = (0, 1, 0)$$

$$\vec{n} = \frac{\vec{t}_{x} \times \vec{t}_{y}}{|\vec{t}_{x} \times \vec{t}_{y}|} = (0, 0, 1)$$

$$\vec{r}(\phi, z) = (R \cos \phi, R \sin \phi, z)$$

$$\vec{t}_{\phi} = \frac{\partial \vec{r}}{\partial \phi} = R(-\sin \phi, \cos \phi, 0)$$

$$g_{ij} = \vec{t}_{i} \cdot \vec{t}_{j} = \begin{pmatrix} R^{2}, & 0 \\ 0, & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\vec{t}_{z} = \frac{\partial \vec{r}}{\partial z} = (0, 0, 1)$$

$$\vec{n} = \frac{\vec{t}_{\phi} \times \vec{t}_{z}}{|\vec{t}_{\phi} \times \vec{t}_{z}|} = (\cos \phi, \sin \phi, 0)$$

$$K_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{R}, & 0 \\ 0, & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\vec{r}(\theta,\phi) = R(\sin\theta\cos\phi,\sin\theta\sin\phi,\cos\theta)$$

$$g_{ij} = \vec{t}_i \cdot \vec{t}_j = \begin{pmatrix} R^2, & 0\\ 0, & R^2\sin^2\theta \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\vec{t}_{\phi} = \frac{\partial \vec{r}}{\partial \theta} = R(\cos\theta\cos\phi,\cos\theta\sin\phi,-\sin\theta)$$

$$\vec{t}_{\phi} = \frac{\partial \vec{r}}{\partial \phi} = R\sin\theta(-\sin\phi,\cos\phi,0)$$

$$\vec{t}_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{R}, & 0\\ 0, & -\frac{1}{R} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\vec{n} = \frac{\vec{t}_{\theta} \times \vec{t}_{\phi}}{|\vec{t}_{\theta} \times \vec{t}_{\phi}|} = (\sin\theta\cos\phi,\sin\theta\sin\phi,\cos\theta)$$

Bending energy for deformation of shells

undeformed shell

deformed shell

sheet thickness dYoung's modulus EPoisson's ratio ν

$$K_{ij} = \sum_{k} \left(g^{-1} \right)_{ik} \left(\vec{n} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 \vec{r}}{\partial x^k \partial x^j} \right)$$

bending strain tensor

$$b_{ij} = K'_{ij} - K_{ij}$$

(local measure of deviation from preferred curvature)

Energy cost of bending

 $K'_{ij} = \sum_{k} \left(g'^{-1} \right)_{ik} \left(\vec{n}' \cdot \frac{\partial^2 \vec{r}'}{\partial x^k \partial x^j} \right)$

$$U = \int \left(\sqrt{g} dx^1 dx^2\right) \left[\frac{1}{2}\kappa \left(\operatorname{tr}(b_{ij})\right)^2 + \kappa_G \operatorname{det}(b_{ij})\right]$$

$$\kappa = \frac{Ed^3}{12(1-\nu^2)} \quad \kappa_G = -\frac{Ed^3}{12(1+\nu)}$$

Bending strain for deformation of flat plates

undeformed plate

deformed plate

local normal

$$\vec{n} = \frac{\vec{t}_x \times \vec{t}_y}{|\vec{t}_x \times \vec{t}_y|} = \vec{e}_z$$

reference curvature tensor

$$K_{ij} = \vec{n} \cdot \partial_i \partial_j \vec{r} = 0$$

local normal (neglecting in-plane deformations)

$$\vec{n'} \approx \frac{\vec{e}_z - (\partial_x h) \,\vec{e}_x - (\partial_y h) \,\vec{e}_y}{\sqrt{1 + (\partial_x h)^2 + (\partial_y h)^2}}$$

bending strain tensor

$$b_{ij} = K'_{ij} \approx \partial_i \partial_j h + \cdots$$

Mechanics of growing sheets

Growth defines preferred metric tensor g_{ij} , and preferred curvature tensor K_{ij} .

The equilibrium membrane shape $\vec{r}'(x^1, x^2)$ corresponds to the minimum of elastic energy:

$$U = \int \left(\sqrt{g} dx^1 dx^2\right) \left[\frac{1}{2}\lambda \left(\sum_i u_{ii}\right)^2 + \mu \sum_{i,j} u_{ij} u_{ji} + \frac{1}{2}\kappa \left(\operatorname{tr}(b_{ij})\right)^2 + \kappa_G \operatorname{det}(b_{ij})\right]$$

Growth can independently tune the metric tensor g_{ij} and the curvature tensor K_{ij} , which may not be compatible with any surface shape that would produce zero energy cost!

Zero energy shape exists only when preferred metric tensor g_{ij} and preferred curvature tensor K_{ij} satisfy Gauss-Codazzi-Mainardi relations!

Mechanics of growing sheets

One of the Gauss-Codazzi-Mainardi equations (Gauss's Theorema Egregium) relates the Gauss curvature to metric tensor

$$\det(K'_{ij}) = \mathcal{F}(g'_{ij})$$

The equilibrium membrane shape $\vec{r}'(x^1, x^2)$ corresponds to the minimum of elastic energy:

$$U = \int \left(\sqrt{g} dx^1 dx^2\right) \left[\frac{1}{2}\lambda\left(\sum_i u_{ii}\right)^2 + \mu \sum_{i,j} u_{ij} u_{ji} + \frac{1}{2}\kappa\left(\operatorname{tr}(b_{ij})\right)^2 + \kappa_G \operatorname{det}(b_{ij})\right]$$

scaling with membrane thickness d

 $\lambda, \mu \sim Ed$ $\kappa, \kappa_G \sim Ed^3$ For very thin membranes the equilibrium shape matches the preferred metric tensor to avoid stretching, compressing and shearing. This also specifies the Gauss curvature!

$$g'_{ij} = g_{ij}$$
$$\det(K'_{ij}) = \mathcal{F}(g_{ij})$$